NaBITA THREAT ASSESSMENT TOOL

Classifying Risk	Intervention Tools to
	Address Risk as Classified
Mild Risk -Disruptive or concerning behaviorStudent may or may not show signs of distressNo threat made or present.	Mild Risk -Confrontation by ReporterBehavioral contact or treatment plan with StudentStudent conduct responseEvaluate for disability services and/or medical referralConflict management, mediation, problem-
Mandanata Disk	solving.
Moderate Risk -More involved or repeated disruption. Behavior more concerning. Likely distressed or low-level disturbance.	Moderate Risk -Confrontation by reporter.
-Possible threat made or present.	-Behavioral contract or treatment plan with student.
-Threat is vague or indirect.	-Student conduct response.
-Information about threat or threat itself is	-Evaluate for disability services and/or medical
inconsistent implausible of lacks detail.	referral.
-Threat lacks realism.	-Conflict Management, mediation (not if
-Content of threat suggests threatener is unlikely to carry out.	physical/violent), problem-solving.
Elevated Risk	Elevated Risk
-Seriously disruptive incident(s).	-Confrontation by reporter.
-Exhibiting clear distress, more likely disturbance.	-Evaluate parental/guardian notification.
-Threat made or present.	-Evaluate need to request permission from student to receive medical/educational records.
-Threat is vague and indirect but may be	-Consider interim suspension, if applicable.
repeated or shared with multiple reporters.	
-Information about threat or threat itself is	-Evaluate for disability services and/or medical
inconsistent implausible of lacks detail.	referral.
-Threat lacks realism or is repeated with variations.	-Consider referral or mandated assessment.
-Content of threat suggests threatener unlikely to	
carry it out.	
Severe Risk	Severe Risk

- -Disturbed or advancing dysregulation.
- -Threat made or present.
- -Threat is vague, but direct or specific but indirect.
- -Likely to be reported or shared with multiple.
- -Information about threat or threat itself is consistent, plausible or includes increasing detail of a plan (time, place, etc.).
- -Threat likely to be repeated or shared with multiple reporters.
- -Content of threat suggests threatener may carry it out.

- -Possible Confrontation by reporter.
- -Parental/guardian notification obligatory unless contradicted.
- -Evaluate emergency notification to others (FERPA/HIPAA/Clery).
- -No behavioral contracts.
- -Recommended interim suspension if applicable.
- -Possible liaison with local police to compare red flags.
- -Deploy mandated assessment.
- -Evaluate for medical/psychological transport.
- -Evaluate custodial hold.
- -Consider voluntary/involuntary medical withdrawal.
- -Direct threat eligible.
- -Consider eligibility for involuntary commitment .

Extreme Risk

- -Student is dysregulated (way of baseline) or medically disabled.
- -Threat made or present.
- -Threat is concrete (specific or direct).
- -Likely to be repeated or shared with multiple reporters.
- -Information about threat or threat itself is consistent, plausible or includes specific detail of a plan (time, place, etc.), often with steps already taken.
- -Threatener may appear detached.

Extreme Risk

- -Possible confrontation by reporter.
- -Parental/guardian notification obligatory unless contradicted.
- -Evaluate emergency notification to others.
- -No behavioral contracts.
- -Interim suspension if applicable.
- -Possible liaison with local police to compare red flags.
- -Too serious for mandated assessment.
- -Evaluate for medical/psychological transport.
- -Evaluate for custodial hold.
- -Initiate voluntary/involuntary medical withdrawal
- -Direct threat eligible.
- -Law enforcement response.
- -Consider eligibility for involuntary commitment.